

Meeting the future demands for grassland production

Edited by

P. Virkajärvi K. Hakala M. Hakojärvi J. Helin I. Herzon V. Jokela S. Peltonen M. Rinne M. Seppänen J. Uusi-Kämppä



Volume 25 Grassland Science in Europe

Meeting the future demands for grassland production

Meeting the future demands for grassland production

Proceedings of the 28th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation Helsinki, Finland 19-22 October 2020

Edited by

P. Virkajärvi

K. Hakala

M. Hakojärvi

J. Helin

I. Herzon

V. Jokela

S. Peltonen

M. Rinne

M. Seppänen

J. Uusi-Kämppä





I INIIVEDCITY OF HEI CINIVI

Published by

The Organising Committee of the 28th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Latokartanonkaari 9, 00790 Helsinki, Finland

Copyright © 2020

All rights reserved. Nothing from this publication may be reproduced, stored in computerised systems or published in any form or any manner, including electronic, mechanical, reprographic or photographic, without prior written permission from the publisher.

The individual contributions in this publication and any liabilities arising from them remain the responsibility of the authors.

ISBN: 978-952-326-944-6 eISBN: 978-952-326-945-3

Abstract submission and evaluation by

Editing and production by

Wageningen Academic Publishers P.O. Box 220 6700 AE Wageningen The Netherlands www.WageningenAcademic.com

Distributed by

European Grassland Federation EGF W. Kessler, Federation Secretary c/o Agroscope Reckenholzstrasse 191 8046 Zürich, Switzerland

E-mail: fedsecretary@europeangrassland.org







Organising Committee

President Mervi Seppänen Yara-Finland Ltd

General Secretary Katri Luomanpää Confedent International

Members Anni Halmemies-Beauchet-Filleau University of Helsinki

Venla Jokela Eurofins Agro

Panu Korhonen Luke Kaisa Kuoppala Luke

Marjukka Lamminen University of Helsinki

Arja Mustonen Luke
Laura Nyholm Valio Ltd
Sari Peltonen ProAgria
Marketta Rinne Luke
Auvo Sairanen Luke
Essi Tahvola Atria Ltd.

Aila Vanhatalo University of Helsinki

Perttu Virkajärvi Luke

Scientific Committee

Chair Perttu Virkajärvi Luke

Members Kaija Hakala Luke

Mikko Hakojärvi Mtech Digital Solutions Oy

Janne Helin Luke

Iryna Herzon University of Helsinki

Venla Jokela Eurofins Agro

Panu Korhonen Luke
Kaisa Kuoppala Luke
Sari Peltonen ProAgria
Marketta Rinne Luke

Mervi Seppänen Yara Suomi Ltd

Jaana Uusi-Kämppä Luke



Reviewers

M. Bassignana M. Hofmann S. Peltonen A.R. Bayat G. Holshof G. Peratoner K.Y.B. Belachew P. Huhtanen A.T. Randby G. Bellocchi J.I. Isselstein K. Regina J.A. Reijneveld M. Boob S. Jaakkola D.W. Bussink M. Rinne K. Järvenranta M. Cougnon V.S. Jokela M.T. Saastamoinen S. Dalmannsdottir M. Jørgensen A. Sairanen M.E. Egan J.J. Kaivosoja E. Salomon M. Elsaesser K. Klumpp H. Soinne I. Fernández-Habas M. Komainda E. Spörndly J.A. Finn B. Krautzer T. Stefański M.F. Franco I.S. Sturite K. Kuoppala S. Green H.M. Leskinen E.A. Tampio A.-M. Gustavsson S.E. Lind G. Thorvaldsson K. Hakala C.L. Lizarazo B. Tonn M. Hakojärvi S.A. Luostarinen K. Topp M.B. Lynch J.M. Uusi-Kämppä M.A. Halling A. Halmemies-Beauchet-Filleau A. Van Den Pol-Van Dasselaar M.E. Maljanen A.E. Hannukkala K. Manni J.C. Van Middelkoop H.M. Hanslin G. Mesbahi P. Virkajärvi I.A. Helin A. Michelot-Antalik M. Wachendorf D. Hennessy O. Niemeläinen K. Ylivainio

T. O'Dwyer

C.K.M. Palmborg

Proof reader

I. Herzon

A. Hessle

Alan Hopkins

L. Østrem

Theme 5. Knowledge exchange

Invited

Knowledge exchange approaches for better decision-making and innovation processes <i>Kelly T.</i>		
Submitted		
Grasslands uses and animal health management: perceptions of dairy farmers in western France <i>Petit T., Gotti V., Manoli C. and Couvreur S.</i>	704	
Generating and transferring grassroots innovations in a multi-actor participatory process Herzon I., Puig De Morales M., Gaki D., Kazakova Y., Moran J., Pinto Correia T., Jitea I.M., Vlahos G., Faraslis I. and Ljung M.	707	
Getting our message across Butler G., Malisch C., Nadeau E., Woodhouse A., Flø B.E., Sakowski T., Gottardo F., Ruzzi G., Davis H. and Steinshamn H.	710	
Identifying barriers to improving grass utilisation on dairy farms McConnell D.A., Huson K.M., Gordon A. and Lively F.O.	713	
GrassCheck: monitoring grass growth and maximizing grass utilisation on UK farms <i>Huson K.M., Lively F.O., Aubry A., Takahashi T., Gordon A. and McConnell D.A.</i>	716	
Posters		
Assessing variability in grass growth and quality on commercial farms using grassland management software Aubry A., Rankin J. and Meeke T.	719	
Analysis of the innovation system for dairy on grassland in the Wesermarsch-Region Becker T., Feindt P.H. and Janker J.	722	
Preferences for grassland-management innovations in dehesa farms from Andalusia (Spain) Fernández-Habas J., Fernández-Rebollo P., Vanwalleghem T., Luis-González A., Sánchez-Zamora P. and Gallardo-Cobos R.	725	
A survey analysis of farmer practices and perceptions of zero-grazing on Irish dairy farms <i>Holohan C., Russell T., Mulligan F.J., Pierce K.M. and Lynch M.B.</i>	728	
Exploring methods to quantify on-farm fresh grass intake Klootwijk C.W., De Haan M.H.A., Philipsen A.P. and Van den Pol-van Dasselaar A.	731	
Organic dairy cow grazing – demonstration study at Mustiala Farm Kuoppala K., Perttala R., Kukkula L. and Heikkonen J.	734	

Preferences for grassland-management innovations in dehesa farms from Andalusia (Spain)

Fernández-Habas J.¹, Fernández-Rebollo P.¹, Vanwalleghem T.², Luis-González A.², Sánchez-Zamora P.³ and Gallardo-Cobos R.³

¹Department of Forestry, School of Agricultural and Forestry Engineering, University of Cordoba, Spain; ²Department of Agronomy Hydraulic Engineering Area, School of Agricultural and Forestry Engineering, University of Cordoba, Spain; ³Department of Agricultural Economics, School of Agricultural and Forestry Engineering, University of Córdoba, Spain

Abstract

Permanent grasslands (PG) are of key importance for the provision of ecosystem services (ES). Suitable management is essential to guarantee their persistence and functionality. Currently, there is a growing interest in innovations and new technologies aimed to facilitate and to improve the management of PG while increasing their provision of ES. It is important to know which innovations are preferred by PG managers and farmers so they can be prioritized by researchers and policymakers. In this study, we produced a list of the main innovations and technologies that can be applied to the management of PG of dehesa farms concerning in Andalusia. Through surveys, we gathered information on the importance that farmers give to these innovations according to their preferences and needs. The willingness to implement innovations on dehesa farms correlated positively with the education level and negatively with high age levels of the farmers.

Keywords: innovations, farmers, permanent grasslands, pastures, dehesa

Introduction

The dehesa is a savanna-like ecosystem composed of scattered oak trees and pastures. This ecosystem covers 3 million hectares in the Iberian Peninsula, and it is considered as one to be a highly biodiverse and multifunctional system (Bugalho *et al.*, 2011).

The development of new technologies and species over the last decades has made available different tools and innovations that could be applied to grassland management on dehesa farms. These innovations could be essential to guarantee the provision of ecosystem services while making their management more efficient and effective (Berckmans, 2017; Maroto-Molina *et al.*, 2019). Remote sensing and GPS-collars technology have been proposed as some of these innovations for grassland and grazing management (Gómez-Giráldez *et al.*, 2019; Moreno *et al.*, 2015). Other tools that focus on grass production and quality control are also been applied. However, little is known about the preferences and needs of grassland managers about these innovations. This is essential as it could help to focus research on the real needs of grassland managers in order to answer the real demand for innovations. A better understanding of these preferences might also explain which profile of managers are willing to apply certain innovations.

The objectives of this study were: (1) to compile a list of the main innovations and technologies that can be applied to the management of PG of dehesa farms, (2) to evaluate the preferred innovations by farmers and grassland managers, and (3) to investigate the correlation between some attributes of farmers and the preferred innovations.

Materials and methods

A list of innovations was gathered through a literature review. This list was evaluated and completed by a panel of four experts on grassland management and ecosystem services. An innovation was considered

to be any tool, change, improvement or technology that could be applied to permanent grasslands of dehesa farms.

Once the innovation list was completed, a survey was carried out in two focus groups with farmers. Each innovation was rated according the following range of relevance: 1 = very low, 2 = low, 3 = medium, 4 = high, 5 = very high. A total of 36 surveys were answered. The survey also gathered information about the farmers (e.g. education level; from 1 = primary education to 5 = university studies) and farms attributes (e.g. farm size, farming system). Correlations between innovations and farmers/farms attributes were explored by Chi-Square test and Pearson correlation coefficients.

Results and discussion

The average farm size was 247 ha with a large range of sizes from 2 ha to 1,400 ha. The age distribution of the farmers in classes of <25, 26-35, 36-45, 46-55, 56-65 was 14, 26, 14, 26 and 20% respectively. The main enterprise of the farms was sheep breeding for meat (55%) followed by mixed systems of sheep with pigs or dairy/beef cattle (24%), the rest (21%) were farms specialised in either dairy or beef cattle.

Those innovations aimed to increase pasture performance and drought tolerance were rated with high scores (I1, I2, I3, I4 and I12, Table 1). These results denote the importance that farmers give to grasslands as the main source of feed for livestock. Farmers might consider grassland improvement as crucial to maintain their livelihood in the future. That need aligns with the predictions that climate change threatens quality and ecosystems services of Mediterranean pastures (Bernués *et al.*, 2011). New technologies such as virtual fences or GPS collars that are useful for grazing management (I6 and I7) were poorly rated. This might be caused either by a lack of knowledge about these technologies or because the farmers do not find them useful. Other factors could be the cost and the need for technical assistance to use them. Research efforts should be directed to make these technologies useful and applicable to special systems such as extensive farming.

Correlation coefficients showed that innovations I2 (0.305), I3 (0.316), I4 (0.389), I5 (0.313), I7 (0.310) and I10 (0.322), had a positive significant correlation (P<0.05) with higher education level of farmers.

Table 1. List of innovations and scores assigned by the farmers (n=36).¹

	Innovations	Average	Standard
		score	Dev.
11	Sowing with new mixes of seeds	4.36	0.83
12	Searching for drought-tolerant grassland species	4.58	0.84
13	Increasing the knowledge about the quality of grassland species in the Dehesa and their evolution during the year	4.25	0.91
14	Grassland fertilisation: products and fertilisation guidelines	4.06	1.12
15	Monitoring and guidance for soil health assessment	3.94	1.14
16	GPS collars	3.18	1.51
17	Virtual fences	3.06	1.54
18	Remote sensing of grasslands as monitoring tool	3.37	1.24
19	Analysis of manure and slurry	3.82	1.17
110	Software for short-term estimation of grass growth based on current pasture status and weather conditions	3.66	1.14
l11	Software for GHG emissions estimations on the farm, with existing farm management, and recommendations on how to reduce them	3.15	1.35
l12	$\label{thm:continuous} Extension and dissemination of research results on permanent pasture and its management through specialized websites, workshops and courses$	4.34	0.87

¹ Range of relevance: 1 = very low, 2 = low, 3 = medium, 4 = high, 5 = very high.

A new generation of farmers could be willing to improve the management of grassland, demanding the implementation of some of the proposed innovations. Dissemination of research results and specialized courses could be essential to spread the use of some innovations, since higher education leads to a greater willingness to implement them. This aligns with I12 being highly rated, as it might indicate a demand for closer research-farmer trade-offs on permanent-grassland management.

Conclusions

The farmers rated positively the pasture performance-related innovations, highlighting the need for productive and drought-tolerant pastures. Low relevance was given to new technologies, and this might be related to the complexity of adapting these technologies to dehesa farms. Younger farmers and higher education level correlated with a positive willingness to implement innovations on dehesa farms.

Acknowledgements

This study is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, grant agreement 774124, project SUPER-G (Sustainable Permanent Grassland).

References

- Berckmans D. (2017) General introduction to precision livestock farming. *Animal Frontiers* 7, 6-11. https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2017.0102.
- Bernués A., Ruiz R., Olaizola A., Villalba D. and Casasús I. (2011) Sustainability of pasture-based livestock farming systems in the European Mediterranean context: synergies and trade-offs. *Livestock Science* 139, 44-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. livsci.2011.03.018.
- Bugalho M.N., Caldeira M.C., Pereira J.S., Aronson J., and Pausas J.G. (2011) Mediterranean cork oak savannas require human use to sustain biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 9, 278-286.
- Gómez-Giráldez P.J., Aguilar C., Caño A.B., García-Moreno A., and González-Dugo M.P. (2019) Remote sensing estimation of net primary production as monitoring indicator of holm oak savanna management. *Ecological Indicators* 106, 105526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.105526.
- Maroto-Molina F., Navarro-García J., Príncipe-Aguirre K., Gómez-Maqueda I., Guerrero-Ginel J.E., Garrido-Varo A. and Pérez-Marín D.C. (2019) A low-cost IOT-based system to monitor the location of a whole herd. *Sensors* 19, 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19102298.
- Moreno G., Berg S., Burgess P.J., Camilli F., Crous-Duran J., Franca A., Hao H., et al. (2015) Innovations to be examined for high nature and cultural value agroforestry. Agrorward Milestone 2.2 (MS3) Report 2.